(3) The willingness and ability of the library staff to maintain the LPDR collection and assist the public in locating records;

(4) The nature and extent of related research resources, such as government

documents:

(5) The public accessibility of the library, including handicap accessibility, parking, ground transportation, and hours of operation, particularly evening and weekend hours:

(6) The proximity of the library to existing user groups of the collection, if known.

Comment period expires April 25, 1997. Comments received after this date will be considered if it is practical to do so, but assurance of consideration cannot be given except as to comments filed on or before this date.

Written comments may be submitted to Mr. David Meyer, Chief, Regulatory Publications Branch, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555. Copies of comments received may be examined at the NRC Public Document Room, Gelman Building, 2120 L Street NW, Washington, DC.

Questions concerning the NRC's LPDR Program should be addressed to Ms. Jona L. Souder, LPDR Program Manager, Freedom of Information/Local Public Document Room Branch, Office of Information Resources Management, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, telephone number 301–415–7170, or toll-free 1–800–638–8081.

Dated at Rockville, Md., this 20th day of March, 1997.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. **Russell A. Powell.**

Chief, Freedom of Information/Local Public Document Room Branch, Office of Information Resources Management. [FR Doc. 97–7640 Filed 3–25–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

Regulatory Guide; Issuance, Availability

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission has issued a revision to a guide in its Regulatory Guide Series. This series has been developed to describe and make available to the public such information as methods acceptable to the NRC staff for implementing specific parts of the Commission's regulations, techniques used by the staff in evaluating specific problems or postulated accidents, and data needed by the staff in its review of applications for permits and licenses.

Revision 2 of Regulatory Guide 1.160, "Monitoring the Effectiveness of Maintenance at Nuclear Power Plants," has been issued to endorse Revision 2 of NUMARC 93–01, "Industry Guideline for Monitoring the Effectiveness of Maintenance at Nuclear Power Plants" (April 1996), which has been updated by the Nuclear Energy Institute. When used in conjunction, these revisions to Regulatory Guide 1.160 and NUMARC 93–01 provide methods acceptable to the NRC staff for complying with the NRC's maintenance rule, 10 CFR 50.65.

Comments and suggestions in connection with items for inclusion in guides currently being developed or improvements in all published guides are encouraged at any time. Written comments may be submitted to the Publications Branch, Division of Freedom of Information and Publications Services, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555.

Regulatory guides are available for inspection or copying for a fee at the Commission's Public Document Room, 2120 L Street NW., Washington, DC. Single copies of regulatory guides, both active and draft, may be obtained free of charge by writing the Office of Administration, Attn: Distribution and Mail Services Section, USNRC, Washington, DC 20555–0001, or by fax at (301) 415-2260. Issued guides may also be purchased from the National Technical Information Service on a standing order basis. Details on this service may be obtained by writing NTIS, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161. Regulatory guides are not copyrighted, and Commission approval is not required to reproduce them.

(5 U.S.C. 552(a))

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 13th day of March 1997.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. **David L. Morrison**,

Director, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research.

[FR Doc. 97–7637 Filed 3–25–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

[Docket No. 70-7002]

Amendment to Certificate of Compliance for the U.S. Enrichment Corporation Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Portsmouth, OH

The Director, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards has made a determination that the following amendment request is not significant in accordance with 10 CFR 76.45. In making that determination the staff concluded that (1) there is no change in the types or significant increase in the

amounts of any effluents that may be released offsite; (2) there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure; (3) there is no significant construction impact; (4) there is no significant increase in the potential for, or radiological or chemical consequences from, previous analyzed accidents; (5) the proposed changes do not result in the possibility of a new or different kind of accident; (6) there is no significant reduction in any margin of safety; and (7) the proposed changes will not result in an overall decrease in the effectiveness of the plant's safety, safe guards or security programs. The basis for this determination for the amendment request is described below.

The NRC staff has reviewed the certificate amendment application and concluded that it provides reasonable assurance of adequate safety, safeguards, and security, and compliance with NRC requirements. Therefore, the Director, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards is prepared to issue an amendment to the Certificate of Compliance for the Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant (PORTS). The staff has prepared a Compliance Evaluation Report which provides details of the staff's evaluation.

The NRC staff has determined that this amendment satisfied the criteria for a categorical exclusion in accordance with 10 CFR 51.22. Therefore, pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b), no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared for this amendment.

USEC or any person whose interest may be affected may file a petition, not exceeding 30 pages, requesting review of the Director's Decision. The petition must be filed with the Commission not later than 15 days after publication of this Federal Register Notice. A petition for review of the Director's Decision shall set forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner and how that interest may be affected by the results of the decision. The petition should specifically explain the reasons why review of the Decision should be permitted with particular reference to the following factors: (1) The interest of the petitioner; (2) how that interest may be affected by the Decision, including the reasons why the petitioner should be permitted a review of the Decision; and (3) the petitioner's areas of concern about the activity that is the subject matter of the Decision. Any person described in this paragraph (USEC or any person who filed a petition) may file a response to any petition for review, not to exceed 30 pages, within 10 days after the filing of the petition.